

RECEIVED & FILED
28 Glenwood Road
Fanwood, New Jersey 07023
DEC 18 PM 1:33

December 11, 2018
CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SAN JUAN, P.R.

Clerk's Office
United States District Court
Room 150 Federal Building
San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918

Counsel for the Oversight Board
Proskauer Rose LLP
Eleven Times Square
New York, New York 10036
Attn: Martin J. Bienenstock
Paul V. Possinger
Ehud Barak
Maja Zerjal

Counsel for the Creditors' Committee
Paul Hastings LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10166
Attn: Luc. A. Despina
James Bliss
James Worthington
G. Alexander Bongartz

In re: Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation (COFINA), Case No.17 BK-03284-LTS Doc#:4410-1,
Claim # 6623, Asserted Claim Amount: \$10,000.00
Subject: Pro Se filing of claimants Peter Leavitt and Olga Stavros Leavitt JT TEN disputing the Omnibus
Objection


Gentlemen/Gentlewomen:

Contrary to Exhibit A to the Sixth Omnibus Objection, claimants Peter Leavitt and Olga Stavros Leavitt JT TEN did provide supporting documentation for asserting a claim against COFINA such that the debtors would be able to determine that the claimants have a valid claim against COFINA and any of the other Title III debtors

The claim application requested among other things a copy of an itemized statement(s) of a running account(s) showing the claimants' proof of ownership. The claimants provided the above with the application (See enclosed documentation submitted with the claimants' application). The first page is a cover page that includes the name of the claimants' investment company, the claimants' names and address, the period of the month to which the claimants' statement applies, contact information pertaining to the claimants' investment company, and the claimants' account number. The second page is an account detail page that includes the claimants' names, the claimants' account number, the period to which the claimants' statement applies, the claimants' municipal bond inconclusive of its name, coupon rate, maturity date and CUSIP for which the claimants are asserting a claim against COFINA and any of the other Title III debtors, and the municipal bond's trade date, face value, original unit cost, adjusted unit cost, unit price, original total cost, adjusted total cost, market value and unrealized gain/loss.

Surely, with all this information supplied by the claimants, in accordance with the rules, as proof of the claimants' claim, and with the proper due diligence on the part of COFINA, there is clearly sufficient basis or documentation for asserting a claim associated with the municipal bond.

Yours very truly,



Peter Leavitt and Olga Stavros Leavitt JT TEN